

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/633,704	08/05/2003	Robert L. McDonnell	03063	6744	
23338	23338 7590 01/31/2006		EXAMINER		
DENNISON	, ,	HULTZ, DOUGHERTY & MACDONALD		FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR	
SUITE 105	IKEEI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314		3724		

DATE MAILED: 01/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/633,704	MCDONNELL, ROBERT L.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 November 2005</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1,2,4-6 and 8 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 1,2,4 and 5 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 6 and 8 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers								
9)[	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment received on 11/22/05.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaltenmark et al. (3,221,783).

Kaltenmark et al. discloses the invention including an electric power rotary element (see Fig. 1), a rotatable shaft 11, a frusto-conical driver 6, rotatable hub 5, a housing 12, a first longitudinal extending portion 1, means (14 and 15) for fixing the attachment to the housing, a second portion (the lower part of the member 1, see Fig. 5) and an offset of 30° (see Fig. 4 and 6).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3724

5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaltenmark et al. (3,221,783) in view of Liberatoscioli (5,303,471).

Kaltenmark et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a pair of bracket 15. Kaltenmark et al. does not show a pair of straps. However, Liberatoscioli teaches the use of a pair of straps 21 for the purpose of firmly affixing the saw to the housing. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Newton's device by providing the pair of adjustable straps 21 as taught by Liberatoscioli in order obtain a device that firmly affix the saw to the housing.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 are allowed.
- 7. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The claim is allowable because the prior art fails to teach a mounting member includes an angular deviation from its longitudinal axis that is about equal to angular taper of a frusto-conical driver as set forth in claim 1.

Santilli teaches a longitudinal extending mounting member 2 and a frusto-conical driver 26. However, Santilli fail to teach a mounting member includes an angular deviation from its longitudinal axis that is about equal to angular taper of a frusto-conical driver as set forth in claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,704

Art Unit: 3724

Page 4

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view

of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 571-272-4507.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ofs

1/26/06

Allan N. Shoap

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700